UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,829	12/29/2005	Shinji Ishida	012774-005	6473
	7590 07/22/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	OSINSKI, BRADLEY JAMES		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/562,829	ISHIDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	BRADLEY J. OSINSKI	3767			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Mar</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 8-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 8-15 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the B				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex.	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5-4-2009.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/562,829 Page 2

Art Unit: 3767

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5-4-2009 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spencer (4,619,642) in view of Babyak (Appliance Manufacturer) and Holstein (1,477,265).
  - a. Regarding claim 8, Spencer discloses a tube clamp apparatus with a placement clamp section 183/184, a movable clamp section 185/186 that pressed down on the tube placed in the placement clamp section 185/186, and a hood section 185d/186d at the movable clamp section to engage the engagement members 183d/184d of the elastic member the placement clamp section to maintain a pressing state upon a tube. Hooks 185d/186d are

Art Unit: 3767

orthogonal to tubes placed in the placement clamp sections

(183a/183b/184a/184b). Further disclosed by Spencer is a cutting unit 99 and a movement unit to move the two holding units 180/182 relative to each other such that the end portions to be connected face each other. (Figures 2-4 and 7)

While Spencer substantially discloses the apparatus as claimed, it does not disclose the hood section having a plurality of divided hook portions in a direction orthogonal to a longitudinal direction of a tube placed in the device with one of the portions being elastic and having a protruded portion.

However, Babyak discloses fastening systems and specifically discloses a urethane elastomer between metal fasteners to create a sandwich mount to provide shock protection, vibration isolation and noise control. Holstein discloses a window latch/lock that uses friction to assist in creating a lock. Metal parts of the lock 11 sandwich an elastic member F between them. The elastic member is protruded on one side (that contacts the window pain) and both metal sides 11 and elastic member 11 are arranged in an orthogonal direction to the device it is meant to secure (window pane C). Placing the urethane (of Babyak) or rubber (of Holstein) in such a relation, causes the elastic members to have both sides fixed to the metal section and another side protruding with respect to some of the other hook portions. While Holstein is a different shape, the frictional forces it creates are applicable to different shapes, including hook shape of Spencer.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the hooks of Spencer by sandwiching

Application/Control Number: 10/562,829 Page 4

Art Unit: 3767

urethane or rubber in the shape of a hook, but protruding on the side that contacts the device between two metal hooks as taught by Babyak and Holstein as such is a known method of forming a fastener (such as in Holstein) and would provide shock protection, vibration isolation and noise control (such as in Babyak).

- b. Regarding claim 9, Two holding units 180/182 are disposed along a longitudinal direction of the tubes and the cutting occurs between holding units 180/182.
- c. Regarding claim 10, See arrows in figure 7, the movement unit moves holding unit 180 both longitudinally and orthogonally to the tubes.
- d. Regarding claim 11, See claim 2 above.
- e. Regarding claim 12, See claim 4 above.
- f. Regarding claim 13, see Hostein, the bracket D is made of sheet metal (line 26) and the block F is made of rubber (lines 59 and 60) both of which are parallel to each other (figure 2).
- 2. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spencer (4,619,642), Holstein (1,477,265) and Babyak (Appliance manufacturer) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Smith et al (Mechanical Engineering).
  - g. Regarding claim 14, While Spencer and Babyak substantially discloses the apparatus as claimed such as engagement members 183d/184d, they do not disclose the engagement member being made of a resin. However, Smith et al discloses advantages of plastics over metals with regards to mechanical

interactions. Specifically plastic gears are lighter, less costly and do not wear as much as metals. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the engagement member of Spencer of a plastic resin as discussed in Smith et al to decrease costs, weight and wear of the device.

h. Regarding claim 15, Spencer discloses rotatable rollers 183d/184d on which the elastic member as discussed in claim 1 above slides along the circumference to maintain engagement with the roller.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRADLEY J. OSINSKI whose telephone number is (571)270-3640. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571)272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,829 Page 6

Art Unit: 3767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bradley J Osinski/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767 /Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767